

CWP-29279-2022

Date of Decision:- 19.12.2022

KAMALJIT SINGH

...Petitioner

Verses

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHUPresent: Mr. Rahul Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Lavanya Paul, DAG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution, *inter alia*, for directing the respondents to release arrears of 9 increments along with interest.

Learned counsel, on instructions from the petitioner, submits that instead of pressing the writ petition on merits at this stage, his client would be satisfied in case, legal notice dated 11.10.22 (P-1) is decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to that limited extent.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Lavanya Paul, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent(s)-State. Learned State counsel raises no objection against the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without adjudicating the controversy on merits, writ petition is disposed off with direction to respondent No.2-Director, Public Instructions (Elementary Education), Punjab, to decide the aforesaid legal notice in accordance with

law by passing a reasoned order within a period of 03 (three) months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Needless to say that in case there is a failure on the part of competent authority, petitioner would be at liberty to move appropriate application for revival of this writ petition.

19.12.2022
neelam

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No