

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

**CRWP-11892-2022
Decided on : 19.12.2022**

Shivani and another

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3, to provide protection of lives and liberty of the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 – Shivani, aged 23 years and petitioner No.2 – Abhishek Kumar Brotia, aged 27 years, have performed marriage on 13.12.2022, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 11. It has been submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 13.12.2022 (Annexure P-4), sent vide courier dated 14.12.2022 to respondent Nos.2 and 3–Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur & Station House Officer, Police Station Talwara, Hoshiarpur respectively, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. A.S. Sandhu, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 (State).

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent Nos.2 –Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, to look into the representation dated 13.12.2022 (Annexure P-4) sent vide courier dated 14.12.2022, *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

December 19, 2022

kavita nain

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*