

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

115

**CR-16785 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision:21.09.2022**

Surjit Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

Harbans Singh and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ravi Mishra, Advocate, for
Mr. Karan Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The Executing Court has refused to restore the execution petition while permitting the petitioner to recover a component of the future interest. It has come on record that a suit for recovery of the amount was decreed in favour of the petitioner. In the execution petition, on the permission granted by the court, the petitioner has already purchased 5 kanals 2 marlas of the property belonging to the Judgment Debtors, for an amount of Rs.4,57,001/- and the court disposed of the execution petition as satisfied. However, the petitioner, once again has filed an application for restoration. It is evident that the decree passed in favour of the petitioner has already been substantially complied with.

Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

September 21, 2022

nt

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*