

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

**CRWP-1191-2019
Date of decision: 08.02.2022**

NITIN AND OTHERS

....Petitioners

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present : Mr. Gurbir Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Additional Solicitor General of India with
Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Standing counsel,
for Union of India.

Mr. Sumit Jain, APP UT Chandigarh.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ. J. (ORAL)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

The instant petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners for issuance of writ in the nature of *Mandamus* to direct the official respondents to follow/implement the Notification issued by the Government of Punjab dated 16.01.2019 (Annexure P-4) vide which parole had been granted to the convicts/accused in various jails of Punjab.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Additional Public Prosecutor, appears on behalf of Union Territory of Chandigarh and submits that even though no representation on behalf of the petitioners has been forwarded, however, the writ petition shall be treated as a representation on behalf of the petitioners and an appropriate order, in accordance with law, shall be taken within a period of 04 weeks from

today.

In view of the statement made by learned Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of UT Chandigarh, learned counsel for the petitioners does not wish to press the instant petition at this stage.

Disposed of as not pressed at this stage.

Needless to mention that respondent-authorities shall remain bound by the statement so made by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

February 08, 2022

S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*