

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

142

CWP-29221-2022

Date of decision: 19.12.2022

SANDEEP KUMAR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Raj Kumar Bhatia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1,2 & 4.

Mr. Vivek Saini, AAG, Haryana
for respondent No.3-Municipal Council, Sirsa.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to comply with the terms and conditions of the written agreement dated 10.10.2021 (Annexure P-1) w.e.f. 06.10.2021 to 05.10.2024 executed between the respondent No.3 on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been awarded the contract by the Municipal Council, Sirsa for lifting of dead cattles and that the vigilante does not allow the petitioner to carry out his work. He contends that a false case was thereafter registered against the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana appears and accepts

notice on behalf of respondents No. 1, 2 & 4.

Mr. Vivek Saini, AAG, Haryana who is the Additional Advocate General Haryana and would thus be on the panel of all statutory Board and Corporations of the State of Haryana has been requested to accept notice on behalf of the respondent No.3-Municipal Council, Sirsa.

On the asking of the Court, he accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3-Municipal Council, Sirsa.

Learned State counsel appears on behalf of respondents No.1, 2 & 4 apprises that the matter was enquired into the Investigating Agency and a cancellation report has already been recommended after verification of all the claims made by the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.3-Municipal Council, Sirsa accepts that the contract in question has been awarded in favour of the petitioner.

In view of the above, no further directions are required to be issued. Needless to mention that in the event of the petitioner having any other grievance against unlawful acts/impediments being created by any vigilante, he shall be at liberty to submitted a representation to the District Administration which shall looked into the said grievance and take appropriate measures to resolve the same.

Disposed of accordingly.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

DECEMBER 19, 2022

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No