

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

**CR-8508 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.12.2022**

Prem Kumar

..Petitioner

Versus

Raj Rani

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. A.S.Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Joshi, Advocate, and
Mr. J.S.Bains, Advocate,
for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that the petitioner's divorce petition has already been dismissed vide order dated 13.11.2019. This revision petition has been filed to challenge the order passed by the Additional District Judge, Jalandhar, while directing him to pay a lump sum of Rs.2,00,000/- for his daughter's marriage.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that the application will not strictly fall within the scope of Section 65 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

Be that as it may. The petitioner does not dispute that the marriage of his own daughter is fixed. In such circumstances, even in the absence of a specific provision, the Court has the inherent powers to pass orders in order to ensure that the petitioner's daughter is gracefully married.

Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

December 13, 2022

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

nt

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>