

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

119

CR-8454 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.10.2022

Charat Ram

..Petitioner

Versus

Ram Lal (since deceased) through his LR and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate, for respondent no.1

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The petitioner herein is a defendant in a suit for possession by way of partition of the property. An application for permission to produce in additional evidence, the following documents, has been dismissed by the trial Court:-

“Photographs of during the last rites ceremony of deceased Ram Lal are on case file but could not be exhibited along with the receipt of photographer and statement of photographer.

The certified copy of plaint of case titled “Ashok and others vs. Kavita and others” which is pending in the Court of Sh. Akshay Chaudhary, Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Hodal.

Certified copy of written statement on behalf of defendant name Mehamber in case titled “Ashok and others vs. Kavita and others” which is pending in the Court of Sh. Akshay Chaudhary, Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Hodal”

The Court has observed that the aforesaid documents are not relevant for the decision of the case. Though, the learned counsel representing the petitioner made sincere attempts, however, he failed to draw the attention of the Court to any material which would allow the Court to

come to a conclusion that the aforesaid documents are relevant for the decision of the case.

Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

October 18, 2022
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No