

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.118

**Civil Writ Petition No.29195 of 2022
Date of Decision : December 19, 2022**

Ram Krishan & ors.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Abhinav Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

It is submitted that the petitioners had purchased 40 marlas of land in all. Mutation was also entered but the revenue record does not reflect their ownership correctly. As per the revenue record, the petitioners own 16 marlas less. Thus, a detailed representation dated 07.11.2022 (Annexure P-10) has been submitted but no action has been taken.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, no reply is necessary.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.5 to decide the pending representation dated 07.11.2022 (Annexure P-10) by passing a speaking order within twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

December 19, 2022

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No