

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-7802-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.08.2022

Lt. Col. Inder Parkash Datta (Retd.) @ Inayat Pran Datta

...Petitioner

Versus

Nita Wachtel

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Vishwajeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Abhishek Jindal, Advocate
for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The trial Court has refused to permit the petitioner(plaintiff in the suit) to lead additional evidence as the record summoned is not shown to be relevant for the decision of the case. The plaintiff has filed a suit for declaration with a consequential relief for permanent injunction and mandatory injunction, questioning the validity of a Will executed by his wife in favour of her eldest daughter. The plaintiff wishes to summon the concerned officials for production of record with respect to Sale Deed Nos.325, 326, 461, 462 and record from the office of District and Sessions Judge with respect to acquisition of land.

Heard learned counsel representing the parties.

Despite repeated requests, learned counsel representing the petitioner failed to furnish any explanation as to how the evidence sought to be produced by way of additional evidence is relevant, hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

August 18, 2022

ps-I

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>