

**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-7641-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 28.10.2022

Smt. Surindero Devi and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Suresh Kumar Malhotra

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the petitioners

Mr. Digvijay Singh, Advocate for

Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate for the respondent

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

This is a revision petition filed by the tenants, who have been ordered to be evicted concurrently by the Rent Controller as well as the Appellate Authority. On a message being sent to the learned counsel representing the petitioners, he has reported that the brief of the case has been taken back from him. The petitioners remain unrepresented.

This Court has gone through the paperbook. Both the courts have ordered eviction on the bona fide requirement of respondent's son, Sh.Himanshu, who has also appeared in evidence as AW2. Sh. Himanshu has passed a degree in Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering and wants to open a workshop by merging three shops. The courts have also ordered eviction of the petitioners on the ground of unauthorized subletting of the tenanted premises by petitioner no.1 and 2 in favour of petitioner no.3. The scope of interference in revisional jurisdiction is limited in view of the judgment passed by Five Judge Bench in

Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited vs. Dilbahar Singh'

(2014) 9 SCC 78. On reading of the grounds of revision, it is evident that the petitioners have failed to draw the attention of the Court to any perversity or substantive error in the concurrent orders passed by the courts below.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the revision petition is dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

28.10.2022

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE