

[227] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.3995 of 2019
Date of Decision :11.10.2022

Loveleen Kajal ...Petitioner

versus

Rajesh BaliRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. D.P. Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Jashanpreet, Advocate and
Ms. Anju Arora, Advocate
for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful breach of undertaking dated 30.09.2016 in the joint petition and statement (Annexure P-1 and P-2) respectively given in the Court of the learned Addl. District Judge, SAS Nagar, Mohali in HMA petition No.3547 of 2016 under Section 13-B of the HMA, decided on 31.03.2017.

[2] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that needful in terms of the joint petition and statement has been done and the petitioner has received payment as agreed upon between the parties as per compromise deed (Annexure P-2) dated 29.09.2016, therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[4] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

11.10.2022
'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>