

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(108)

CWP No.28836 of 2022

Date of Decision : 20.12.2022

Pyare Lal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner competed in pursuance of advertisement No.9/2015 for the post of TGT English in Mewat Cadre and has already been selected but the appointment was not issued to the petitioner on the ground that the degrees of the petitioner were under dispute as to whether, the same can be taken as valid degrees or not.

Learned counsel submits that the said issue has already been decided by this Court in CWP No.7626 of 2015, titled as **Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another**, decided on 27.05.2015 and though, in the case of the similarly situated employees, direction has already been given by this Court to consider the claim of the similarly situated employees and if they are within merit, they be granted appointment. The benefit has not been extended to the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner has never approached the Court for similar kind of direction, hence, the present petition has been filed seeking direction to

the respondents to grant the petitioner the benefit of appointment in pursuance of selection as TGT English.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner has already raised the grievance as raised in the present petition in the representation dated 03.11.2022 (Annexure P-13) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 03.11.2022 (Annexure P-13) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the representation, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation dated 03.11.2022 (Annexure P-13), by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

December 20, 2022
jt

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*
Whether reportable? *No*