

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

211-CRM-M-58289-2022

Date of Decision: 19.12.2022

Kavita

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. KPS Virk, Advocate for the petitioner

Sandeep Moudgil, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.381 dated 20.11.2022 under Sections 384/388/389/34 IPC registered at Police Station Julana, District Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and is nowhere involved in the commission of offence with the other co-accused, namely, Vandana and Annu. In fact, it is Annu, who is the main accused, and is involved in such like cases for extortion of money.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG Haryana accepts notice who has filed custody certificate dated 16.12.2022, which is taken on record, according to which, there is no other case against the petitioner. She has undergone 25 days of custody as she was arrested on 22.11.2022, while working as Maid at Rohtak and she does not belong to District Jind, where the offence was committed.

Considering the fact that there is no other case *qua* the present petitioner and her place of birth is totally different whereas the offence in the

FIR is alleged to have taken place at Jind and also looking at the totality of facts and circumstances and in view of the fact that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take long time and, therefore, no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars, the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The present petition is, therefore, allowed.

19.12.2022

V.Vishal

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
- 2. Whether reportable?

(Sandeep Moudgil)
Judge

Yes/No
Yes/No