

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(133)

CWP-28671-2022

Date of Decision : December 23, 2022

Abhishek Sanwaria

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though in the present petition, the order of suspension has been challenged but the grievance of the petitioner is that his Headquarter has been fixed at Panchkula instead of Gurugram where the petitioner was posted, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

Nothing has come on record as to why the Headquarter of the petitioner was fixed at Panchkula instead of Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has instructions to submit that the said aspect is already under consideration of the respondents and appropriate order is likely to be passed redressing the grievance of the petitioner qua the said aspect and the decision in this regard

will be taken within a period of two to three days.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the said statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same in case, no such order is passed by the respondents within the time framed as undertaken.

Ordered accordingly.

December 23, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No