

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.222-a

**Civil Writ Petition No.34407 of 2019
Date of Decision : November 22, 2022**

Rudra Bagri

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sumit Kumar Gosain, Advocate for
Mr. Harender Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kannan Malik, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

Mr. Rose Gupta, Advocate and
Ms. Garima Modi, Advocate,
for respondent No.5.

Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Cheema, Advocate,
for respondent No.6.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the writ petition
has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

November 22, 2022

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No