

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.101

**Civil Writ Petition No.34354 of 2019
Date of Decision : August 26, 2022**

Chanan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Nandan Jindal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

While appointing a Village Lambardar, Collector chose respondent No.5 over the other candidates. Appeal and revision filed by the petitioner against the said order have been dismissed. Thus, the present writ petition has been filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only point that has been held against the petitioner is his age. That alone was not sufficient to non suit him.

A perusal of the order of the Collector shows that he has compared the comparative merits and demerits of the candidates and has selected respondent No.5 over the others. The order cannot be said to be perverse and thus, no interference is called for.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

August 26, 2022

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No