

123.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28639-2022

Date of Decision: 13.12.2022

SUKHWINDER SINGH

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

The petitioner herein seeks a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to decide his appeal dated 19.12.2020, Annexure P-4, filed under Rule 16.29 of the Punjab Police Rules, against the order dated 18.06.2015, which is pending before respondent No.4 for the last two years. He is seeking compulsorily retirement on parity with similar situated incumbents in view of order dated 09.03.2017 and also seeks consequential benefits.

Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would submit that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case his appeal is decided in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Ms. Deepali Puri, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents.

Let adequate number of copies of the paper book be supplied to her during the course of the day.

Without commenting on merits of the case and keeping in view the limited prayer made by counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to decide the appeal dated 19.12.2020, Annexure P-4, filed by the petitioner, by passing a speaking order, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law and convey the decision to the petitioner. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

13.12.2022

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No