

118

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-58337-2022

Date of Decision:14.12.2022

DHARAMBIR

..... Petitioner

*Versus*

STATE OF HARYANA

..... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present : Mr. Rahul Makkar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner through instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is seeking quashing of order dated 30.11.2022 (Annexure P-2) passed by CJM, Sonipat whereby petitioner has been directed to produce Satender-accused on 14.12.2022.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner stood surety for release of Satender an accused in FIR No.420 dated 12.10.2015 under Sections 186, 332, 353, 506 and 34 of IPC registered at Police Station Rai, District Sonipat.

Satender has been declared proclaimed person because he is absconding and not joining proceedings before learned Trial Court. The Trial Court directed surety-petitioner to appear in person. The petitioner appeared before Trial Court and made statement that he does not know whereabouts of Satender and sought time to produce the accused. The Trial Court directed the petitioner to produce accused on 14.12.2022.

Trial Court has not passed any adverse order against the petitioner and simply directed him to produce the accused, thus, present petition is premature. The Trial Court in terms of bond furnished at the time of release of the accused as well Sections 446 & 446-A of Cr.P.C. is competent to take appropriate steps against the surety.

This Court is sanguine of the fact that Trial Court would take steps against petitioner/surety as permissible by law.

Disposed of.

( JAGMOHAN BANSAL )  
JUDGE

14.12.2022  
*Ali*

|                           |               |
|---------------------------|---------------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No        |
| <i>Whether Reportable</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |