

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH.**

239

**CWP-34657-2019 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 12.12.2022.**

Nasim Ahmad

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The instant writ petition has been preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950, seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 06.03.2019 (Annexure P-7) sent by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner undertook work of construction of Nail in Gram Panchayat Salaheri from the house of Usman till the Talab vide Resolution No.08 dated 21.04.2016 passed by respondent No.5 and completed the work to the satisfaction of all the respondents. He further contends that all the bills were verified and entered in MB. He further contends that despite the order passed by

respondent No.3, the Block Development-cum-Panchayat Officer, Nuh, the undisputed due payment has not been released to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this juncture, the petitioner would be satisfied in a case a direction is issued to the respondent to decide the representation dated 06.03.2019 (Annexure P-7) sent by the petitioner to the Deputy Commissioner, Nuh, in a time bound manner.

Taking into consideration that an alternative prayer has been made to the extent that the representation be decided by the respondents in a time bound manner, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 – the Deputy Commissioner, Nuh, to look into the grievance espoused by the petitioner in the representation dated 06.03.2019 (Annexure P-7) sent by the petitioner and to pass a speaking and reasoned order thereupon in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order after granting an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

Needless to mention that in the event the entitlement of the petitioner being established as per law, the payment due to the petitioner shall be released expeditiously and preferably within a further period of three months.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

December 12, 2022
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No