

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

114

CWP-29435-2022

Date of decision : 22.12.2022.

Rajpal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Deepak Gollen, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is physically challenged and entitled to the conveyance allowance in terms of the instructions dated 30.07.2018 (Annexure P-2) which are applicable to the respondents w.e.f. 01.05.2018. The petitioner had earlier preferred a writ petition which was disposed of by directing the respondents to consider and decide the representation. The petitioner has been granted the conveyance allowance w.e.f. 11.07.2019 but he would be entitled to the same w.e.f. 01.05.2018 as he was working as a Clerk since 2008. The petitioner has also not been granted the benefit of LTC although he was eligible for the same. The petitioner has sent a legal notice (Annexure P-7) in this regard to the respondents and the petition may be disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the same in accordance with law.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Heard.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the legal notice (Annexure P-7) in accordance with law within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)

JUDGE

December 22, 2022

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No