

101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

CWP-13043-2019

Date of decision: 18.05.2022

*Krishan Chander Verma*

*.....Petitioner*

***Versus***

*State of U.T. and others*

*.....Respondents*

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajbir Sehrawat**

-.-

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Rai, Advocate  
for the petitioner

-.-

**Rajbir Sehrawat, J. (Oral)**

The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India, praying for issuance of writ in the nature of certiorari quashing impugned order dated 23.10.2018 (Annexure P-5) passed by respondent No. 2, whereby complaint No. 40/2018 dated 06.07.2018 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioner has been disposed of. Further, prayer has been made for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus, directing respondent No. 2 to allow complaint No. 40/2018 dated 06.07.2018.

Perusal of the pleadings, as well as, the prayer made in the writ petition, in essence, shows that the claim of the petitioner is qua correction

of DDR recorded in Police Station 34, Chandigarh. Record also shows that another DDR in the nature of correction of the earlier DDR already stands recorded. Therefore, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous. Moreover, it is not appropriate for the Court to enter into the domain of recording and correction of DDRs. Hence, this Court does not find any force in the present writ petition, the same is dismissed.

However, any observation made in the order impugned passed by the Police Complaints Authority shall not be taken as adverse to the petitioner in any other proceedings, whatsoever.

**(Rajbir Sehrawat)**  
**Judge**

18.05.2022  
Mohan Bimbhra

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No