

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
107**

CWP-28594-2022

Date of decision: 20.12.2022

M/S NIMAWAT GRANITES PVT LTD

.....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Tejeshwar Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court with a grievance that the lease, which was given to the petitioner, pertains to an area of 40.62 hectares, whereas ultimately, on measurement, it was found that an area of 5.135 hectares was not available for mining where there were either houses/temples or constructions etc. and after deletion of that area, the actual area available for mining was 35.48 hectares. The prayer is that the lease money should be proportionately reduced viz-a-viz the area which was handed over to the petitioner for carrying out the lease. In this regard, the petitioner had approached the respondents for redressal of its grievance. Reliance has also been placed upon the various orders passed by the Supreme Court and this Court, copies of these orders have been placed on record as Annexures P-16 to P-21.

Counsel for the petitioner states that apart from the

representation, which has already been submitted to the respondents for redressal of the grievance of the petitioner, the petitioner would move a detailed comprehensive representation to the Mining Officer, Department of Mines and Geology, Mahendragarh, Haryana-respondent No. 3 within a period of 10 days from today.

On doing so, the said authority, if such a representation is made, shall consider the same and pass a speaking order within a period of two months and convey the decision to the petitioner forthwith.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

20.12.2022
PJ

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>