

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(109)

CWP No. 28502 of 2022

Date of Decision : 12.12.2022

Ishwar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Goyat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he be allowed to withdraw this petition with liberty to the petitioner to approach the higher authorities in the respondent-department itself so as to bring to their notice that the impugned order of re-fixation dated 16.11.2022 (Annexure P-5) passed by respondent No. 3 and consequent re-fixation of the salary vide order dated 02.12.2022 (Annexure P-7) is contrary to the settled principle of law and even the Instructions issued by the Government.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only prayer of the petitioner is that in case, any representation is filed before respondent No. 2, the same be decided expeditiously as, the salary of the petitioner is being reduced.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Learned State counsel submits that in case any remedy of representation is invoked by the petitioner by filing any appeal against the order dated 16.11.2022 (Annexure P-5) or order dated 02.12.2022 (Annexure P-7), the same will be decided within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

December 12, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No