

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50685-2019

Date of decision : 14.03.2022

Nancy Behl

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Anshul Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. G.S. Madaan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has approached this Court for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondents No.2 and 3 in case FIR No.15 dated 04.04.2019, under Sections 406, 498-A of the Indian Penal Code (offence under Sections 120-B and 420 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station NRI, Ludhiana.

The offence pertains to a matrimonial discord. The respondents No.2 and 3 are parents-in-law of the petitioner-complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as dowry articles were not recovered hence, the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana has fallen in error while granting anticipatory bail to respondents No.2 and 3.

The only ground taken by the counsel for cancellation of bail of respondents No.2 and 3 is that the recovery of the dowry articles was not effected. The law pertaining to the grant of bail and that of cancellation of bail are entirely different. Respondents No.2 and 3 are parents-in-law of the petitioner-complainant. It is apparent that after

granting them bail, they have joined the investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. However, merely because the recovery of some dowry articles is not effected cannot be a ground to decline their anticipatory bail.

In the overall facts and circumstances and in view of the law settled, I find no infirmity in the order passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana.

Petition stands dismissed.

14.03.2022
m. sharma

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No