

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

201/2

CWP-38115-2018

DATE OF DECISION: 11.03.2022

SHANKER LAL

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. A.K. Viridi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Puneet Jindal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Tajinder Singh Advocate for respondents No.2 to 5.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 07.12.2018 (Annexure P-1) whereby the petitioner has been placed under suspension. Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the judgment of the Supreme Court in the case of **Union of India and others Vs. Ashok Kumar Aggarwal**, reported as **2013 (16) SCC 147**.

Learned Senior counsel for respondents No.2 to 5 submits that the suspension order has been revoked, the petitioner has been reinstated in service and, therefore, this petition has been rendered infructuous.

At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the respondents have not regularized the period of the petitioner's suspension.

In view of the suspension order being revoked, this petition has been rendered infructuous and stands disposed of accordingly. However, the petitioner is at liberty to make a representation to the Chief Engineer, DHBVN, Hissar for regularization of the period of suspension. In the event of

the petitioner making a representation, the same shall be considered and decided by the Chief Engineer in accordance with law in the light of the afore-noted judgment and other relevant material within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

11.03.2022
SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No