

[232] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.3894 of 2019
Date of Decision :22.09.2022

Samuel Gill ...Petitioner
versus

A. VenuParsad, IAS, Addl. Chief Secretary to
Govt. of Punjab, Deptt. of Local Govt., Municipal
Bhawan, Plot No.3, Sector 35-A, Chandigarh & othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. R.D. Bawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, Asstt. AG, Punjab.

Mr. AbhilakshGaiind, Advocate and
Mr. Rakesh Roy, Advocate
for the respondents.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of Building Bye Laws, 1997, having been adopted in terms of order (Annexure P-1) dated 16.07.2019 in CWP-PIL No.139 of 2019, the petitioner does not press the instant petition but prays for liberty to take out appropriate proceedings in accordance with law to seek their enforcement.

[2] The same is not opposed to by leaned counsel for the respondents.

[3] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner, as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

22.09.2022
'Rajneesh'