

CR 5799 of 2022

**105 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR 5799 of 2022

Date of Decision: December 09, 2022

Narang Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Amritpal Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. S.P.S Aulakh, Advocate for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Petitioner is plaintiff before learned Trial Court. His evidence has been closed by way of the impugned order dated 29.07.2022 (Anexure P-8) against which this revision is filed.

2. Impugned order reveals that as many as 19 opportunities had already been availed by the plaintiff but he has failed to conclude the same.

3. It is contended by counsel that since the time the case was fixed for plaintiff's evidence most of the time was consumed by the COVID due to which evidence could not be produced. Learned counsel further submits that plaintiff requires only one opportunity to conclude the evidence.

4. Although there appears to be no justification to grant another opportunity to the plaintiff but in the interest of justice, one opportunity is provided to the plaintiff to conclude the evidence on the date to be fixed by the Trial Court. This is subject to the condition that petitioner-plaintiff shall pay the cost of ₹25,000/- to the opposite party by way of a demand draft.

CR 5799 of 2022

6.

The evidence is to be produced by the petitioner-plaintiff at his own responsibility. Learned Trial Court is directed to fix the date for the evidence of the plaintiff.
7.

This order has been passed without serving notice to the respondent in order to avoid any delay in the matter. However, if the respondent is aggrieved by this order, he can approach this Court.
8.

Revision is disposed of accordingly.

December 09, 2022
sarita

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No