

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(103)

CWP-28342-2022

Date of Decision : December 09, 2022

Geeta Arora

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner is working as Extension Lecturer and the petitioner fulfill all the required qualification for appointment to the post of Lecturer and therefore, the petitioner is entitled for monthly remuneration of Rs.57,700/- whereas, the petitioner is being paid a sum of Rs.35,400/- by treating her ineligible Extension Lecturer.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already acquired the qualification of Phd. and from the date of requisition of the said qualification, the petitioner is entitled for the monthly remuneration of Rs.57,700/- and appropriate direction be issued to the respondents to grant the same to the petitioner keeping in view the instructions of the Government of Haryana dated 22.03.2018, a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-5 and Policy dated 04.03.2020 (Annexure P-7).

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the

petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents through representation/communication via Email dated 17.09.2022 (Annexure P-14), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation/communication by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation/communication via Email dated 17.09.2022 (Annexure P-14) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the representation/communication via Email dated 17.09.2022 (Annexure P-14), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3 to decide the representation/communication via Email dated 17.09.2022 (Annexure P-14) within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for the monetary benefits after the decision of the representation, the same should also be paid to the petitioner within four weeks thereafter.

December 09, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No