

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(105)

CWP-29003-2022

Date of Decision : December 16, 2022

Rakesh Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner competed for the post of Computer Operator Programming Assistant Instructor (Theory) in pursuance to the Advertisement No.12 of 2019 dated 20.07.2019.

As per the petitioner, after a period of two years of the issuance of the advertisement, candidates were called for scrutiny of the documents but as the petitioner had missed the said notice, he could not appear and he has not been allowed to participate in the selection process any further though the petitioner has obtained sufficient number of marks to be considered further for selection and consequent appointment to the post of Computer Operator Programming Assistant Instructor (Theory).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that non-appearance on the date of scrutiny of the documents is totally inadvertent, hence, as the selection process is still under way, the respondents be directed to allow the petitioner to participate in the selection process and his documents will be scrutinized.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by filing a representation dated 27.09.2022 (Annexure P-7), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 27.09.2022 (Annexure P-7) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 27.09.2022 (Annexure P-7), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 27.09.2022 (Annexure P-7) within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

December 16, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No