

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-28585-2022
Date of Decision : 13.12.2022**

Kuldeep Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present : Mr. Bhupinder Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J

Prayer in this writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is to quash the result dated 17.11.2022 (Annexure P-16) as also the detailed result dated 18.11.2022 (Annexure P-17) wherein the petitioner has been shown to be not eligible on the ground of having less experience than 05 years, the same being in violation of the communications dated 06.05.1997 (Annexure P-19) and 13.05.2022 (Annexure P-20) and the judgment dated 16.07.2009 (Annexure P-21) of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. The petitioner also seeks direction to the respondents to consider the apprenticeship period of two years.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that his representation dated 24.11.2022 (Annexure P-18) is pending with the respondents. It has also been submitted that in an identical matter pertaining to the same post i.e. the post of operator, this Court has directed the respondents to take a decision on the representation of the petitioner within a period of six weeks. Learned counsel has relied upon the order dated 08.12.2022, passed in CWP-28332-2022,

titled as Ravi Kumar vs. Haryana Staff Selection Commission and others. It has been submitted that since the Government is already seized of the matter, the present writ petition be also disposed of in the same terms.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana agrees that the matter be disposed of in the same terms.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to take a final decision on the representation dated 24.11.2022 (Annexure P-18), submitted by the petitioner within a period of six weeks from today by passing a speaking order. The decision so taken be conveyed to the petitioner.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. The Rules, as mentioned in the order dated 08.12.2022, passed in CWP-28332-2022, titled as Ravi Kumar vs. Haryana Staff Selection Commission and others, be also taken into consideration while deciding the representation.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

13.12.2022
mamta

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No