

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

RSA-5529-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 18.04.2022

Lakhpat

...Appellant

versus

Yaspal and Others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : Mr. Rajesh Duhan, Advocate for

Mr. Rajesh Nain, Advocate for the appellant

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral)

On 12.02.2020, the following order was passed:

“On 02.12.2019, the case had been adjourned on the request of learned counsel for the petitioner.

Today again a request has been made by proxy counsel appearing for learned counsel for the petitioner. He has however unable to state the reason why the adjournment is being sought.

Adjourned to 30.03.2020 subject to the costs of Rs.2000/- to be deposited in the Poor Patients Welfare Fund of the Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (PGIMER), Chandigarh.”

As per the office report, no receipt qua deposit of amount of Rs.2000/- has been filed in the Registry.

Today, the proxy counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. Rajesh Nain, Advocate has requested for an adjournment on the ground that Mr.

Nain is in personal difficulty and has candidly admitted that cost of Rs.2000/- as directed vide order dated 12.02.2020 has still not been deposited.

In view of the above, the Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present case for non-prosecution.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

April 18, 2022
tripti

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

NOTE : Whether speaking/non-speaking : Speaking
Whether reportable : YES/NO