

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

121

CR-196-2019(O&M)
Date of decision: 28.09.2022

SWARN SINGH

..Petitioner

Versus

SIMRANJIT KAUR

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Bansal, Advocate
for Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate
for respondent

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

On 06.11.2019, the learned counsel representing the landlord made a statement that in execution of the eviction order, possession has been delivered to the landlord.

Called twice.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner did not come forward to press this petition.

It appears that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the present petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

September 28th, 2022

Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>