

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 113)

CWP No. 28713 of 2022

Date of decision : 14.12.2022

Anup Vohra

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to take a decision on the representation (Annexure P-14), submitted on behalf of the petitioner.

I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to take a decision on the representation (Annexure P-14), submitted on behalf of the petitioner, by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

14.12.2022

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No