

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
CRM-M-49732-2019

Date of decision:13.05.2022

M/S E.I. DUPONT INDIA PVT LTD

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Rohit Khanna, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Gupta, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Since the learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions given to him submits, that hereafter he shall ensure that the petitioner, and/or, its authorized representative shall not make any personal non-appearance before the learned trial Judge concerned, unless it or its authorized representative becomes validly exempted through an affirmative order made on the petitioner's application seeking its apposite personal exemption, thereupon the instant petition is closed.

2. Therefore, the authorized representative of the petitioner is directed to make his personal appearance before the learned trial Magistrate concerned, as, and, when the petition complaint is listed before the learned trial Magistrate concerned. It is clarified that hereafter, if any personal non-appearance(s) without any exemption from personal appearance being granted to the petitioner's representative, are made, thereupon it is open for the learned trial Magistrate concerned, to even without issuing any non coercive processes against the authorized representative of the petitioner, for his making his personal appearance before the learned Magistrate concerned, to forthwith draw coercive processes against the petitioner.

3. Disposed of accordingly.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

13.05.2022

Ithlesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No