

119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 28186 of 2022
Date of Decision: 08.12.2022

Akanksha Arora

-Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajinder Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has preferred this writ petition for the issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No.2 to decide the claim of the petitioner in respect of grant of variation of the conditions of permit No.112/Reg/SC/RTA/BTI/22 by way of diversion of Muktsar to Bathinda via Deon, Goniana route to operate via Budhladewala instead of going through Goniana and also to increase the trips from two to four on such varied route.

Precisely, for the grievance in question, the petitioner has also issued a legal notice in this context on 01.11.2022 before respondent No.2, but the same has not been adverted to so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case her legal notice dated 01.11.2022 is directed to be decided by the competent authority/ respondent No.2 by passing a speaking order in accordance with law at the earliest.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

In view of nature of prayer made by the petitioner, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take note of pending legal notice dated 01.11.2022 got issued by the petitioner and pass appropriate order in accordance with law, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

08.12.2022

Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes/No |