

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(108)

CRM-M-57133-2022

Date of decision: - 09.12.2022

Jaswinder Singh alias Golu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of appropriate directions to the learned trial Court in Sessions Case No.17 of 2021 titled 'State Vs. Ashreya Kuthiala and others', arising out of FIR No.241 dated 23.11.2020, under Sections 307, 326, 324, 323, 120-B, 148 and 149 IPC, at Police Station Division No.2, District Pathankot, for expeditious disposal of application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. filed by the prosecution.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the above-said application was filed on 16.12.2021 and the same is now listed for 20.01.2023 and thus, prayed that the trial Court be requested to decide the said application filed under Section 319 Cr.P.C. as expeditiously as possible.

Notice of motion to the respondents.

On advance notice, Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case any such direction is issued, but however, it has been stated that the counsels for accused as well as the complainant need to be requested to assist the Court and argue the matter and not to seek adjournments.

Keeping in view of the above-said facts and circumstances, the trial Court is requested to decide the said application filed under Section 319 Cr.P.C., as expeditiously as possible.

All the counsels appearing before the trial Court for the accused as well as for the complainant are requested to argue the said application and not to seek unnecessary adjournments.

December 09, 2022
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No