

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

126

CWP-28088-2022

Date of decision: 06.12.2022

Bhura Ram

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Gurshabad Singh Sandhu, Advocate for
Mr. Vineet Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI , J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that petitioner who is working as guest faculty Lecturer is being transferred in an illegal and arbitrary manner without the said transfer being envisaged under the transfer policy. Learned counsel for the petitioner further argues that only a guest faculty lecturer who has been displaced by the regular incumbents, were required to be adjusted at the vacant places whereas, displaced guest faculty lecturers are now being adjusted at a place where petitioner is already working.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised in the representation dated 01.12.2022 copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-2 and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case time bound direction is given to decide the said representation by passing appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

On asking of Court Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Learned State counsel raised no objection in deciding the representation Annexure P-2 in a time bound manner by passing a speaking order.

Without going into the merits of the case or the claim being raised by the petitioner in the present petition, the respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation dated 01.12.2022 Annexure P-2 filed by the petitioner within a period of 8 weeks. Till the said representation is decided, the respondent will allow the petitioner to continue at the present place of posting.

December 06, 2022
nisha-II

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No