

112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-57009-2022

Date of Decision:16.12.2022

DHARAMPAL AND ANOTHER

..... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners through instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. are seeking direction to official respondents not to harass the petitioners on the asking of private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that he is a property dealer and respondents No.4 and 5 with his intervention entered into a property deal which could not be matured. The respondents No.5 and 6 had paid a sum of Rs.70 Lakhs to respondent No.4, however, respondent No.4 inspite of receipt of money did not execute sale-deed. The respondent No.4 handed over two cheques of Rs.70 lakhs which came to be dishonored. With the intervention of police, the respondent No.4 paid a sum of Rs.45 lakhs, however, till date remaining Rs.25 lakhs has not been paid. The respondent No.3 ASI-Jitender Singh, Police Station, DLF, Gurugram is calling the petitioners on account of complaint lodged by respondents No.5 and 6.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Ms. Dimpel Jain, AAG, Haryana

accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and assures the Court that no illegal steps would be initiated against the petitioners and Investigating Officer would proceed after compliance of law.

In view of the statement of learned State Counsel, this Court is sanguine of the fact that Investigating Officer would not take law in his hand and would proceed in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

16.12.2022
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>