

COCN-2675-2022

Date of Decision :12.12.2022

ATAM PARKASH

...Petitioner

Versus

G. ANUPAMA AND ANR

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. R.K. Malik, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Varun Veer Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1, dated 28.07.2022 in CWP No.20504 of 2016 and connected cases.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.20504 of 2016 and connected case was disposed of on the statement of the learned State counsel that claim of the petitioner(s) would be considered as per the judgment of Hon'ble the Division Bench of this Court in CWP No.19641 of 2009 in case titled as R.K. Aggarwal vs. State of Haryana decided on 21.12.2012 and in case on decision, the petitioner(s) were found entitled for any benefit the same would be extended to the petitioner(s) within 08 weeks.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that although notice has yet not been issued in the instant case, but respondent No.2 i.e. Director Health Services (F.W.) has partly accepted the claim of the petitioner vide order dated 20.10.2022, therefore, in the circumstances, he

does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the

petitioner to challenge order dated 20.10.2022 in accordance with law to the extent the same is adverse to the interest of the petitioner.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

12.12.2022
'Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>