

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28367-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: December 09, 2022

Seema

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Additional AG Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Certiorari seeking to quash impugned final result dated 10.04.2022 (Annexure P-3) and detailed result (Annexure P-4), whereby respondent-Commission has not recommended the name of the petitioner for the post of Staff Nurse. She claims that additional 5 marks under Socio-Economic criteria ought to have been granted to her.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner be rather granted liberty to move an appropriate representation *qua* her grievance, before the competent authority which may be decided as per law.

3. On advance service, learned State counsel appears and submits that a decision will be taken by competent authority, either way, on the representation, in case the same is filed by the petitioner, by passing a speaking order.

4. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek return by any of the respondents as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.
5. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to look into the representation of the petitioner, in case the same is submitted within two weeks, and pass an administrative order, in accordance with law.
6. Needful be done as expeditiously as possible.
7. Disposed of accordingly.
8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

December 09, 2022
mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No