

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(115)

CWP-28070-2022

Date of Decision : December 07, 2022

Deepika

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Dr. J.S. Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that inadvertently, Deputy Commissioner, Bhiwani, to whom the petitioner had addressed the representation dated 03.10.2022 (Annexure P-10), could not be made party respondent, hence, on the oral request of the petitioner, Deputy Commissioner, Bhiwani may kindly be impleaded as respondent No.7 in the present petition.

On the oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner, Deputy Commissioner, Bhiwani is impleaded as respondent No.7 in the present petition.

Registry is directed to do the needful.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that respondent No.6 has been appointed as Mid-Day Meal Cook-cum-Helper but while appointing respondent No.6, no transparent process was followed and all the

eligibles were not given opportunity to compete for the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who was fully eligible to be appointed on the said post, could not avail the opportunity as no Munadi was got done by the authorities concerned so as to call for the application of all the eligible candidates before selecting and appointing respondent No.6.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that in the absence of any transparent method adopted by the respondents in selection/appointing respondent No.6, the selection of respondent No.6 is liable to be set aside.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance which has been raised in the present petition, has already been raised before the Deputy Commissioner, Bhiwani vide representation dated 03.10.2022 (Annexure P-10) which is still pending consideration with the said authority and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 03.10.2022 (Annexure P-10) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the representation dated 03.10.2022 (Annexure P-10), the present writ

petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.7 to decide the representation dated 03.10.2022 (Annexure P-10) within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

December 07, 2022

harsha

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No