

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-56828-2022
DECIDED ON: 09.12.2022

JAGWINDER @ JAGWINDER PAL
@ BHAGGA

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This is a petition seeking regular bail in FIR No.91, dated 23.07.2022 (Annexure P-1), under Sections 379-B, 34 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Division 1, District Pathankot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that earlier allegation qua the petitioner is that he along with his co-accused, was involved in the offence of snatching of mobile phone, however, he was not named in the FIR initially. He further contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case merely on the basis of disclosure statement of one Robin Saini and the recovery of mobile phone has been effected on the disclosure statement of the petitioner.

On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is quite active in such like cases, inasmuch as one more other case

is pending against the petitioner.

Looking at the totality of the facts and circumstances, also the fact that the challan has been presented and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take time, no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars, the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

09.12.2022

Poonam Negi

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>