

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

202-CRM-M-56723-2022

Date of Decision: 23.12.2022

Hakam Chand Jossan & Anr.

... Petitioners

VS.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. SPS Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG Punjab

Mr. Prabhjot Singh, Advocate for the complainant

Sandeep Moudgil, J. (Oral)

The petitioners seek anticipatory bail in case FIR No.190 dated 16.11.2022 (Annexure P1) under Sections 420, 506, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station City Jalalabad, District Fazilka.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, in pursuance to the last order, states that though he has instructions to deposit, within 10 days, the amount in dispute which is to the tune of Rs.32,34,510/- before the trial court to show his *bona fide* but will contest the litigation and is not inclined for any settlement. He further states that the aforesaid deposit of amount shall not be construed as an admission and settlement of the dispute but will be subject to final outcome of the proceedings before the trial court.

Learned counsel for the State as well as counsel for the complainant have no objection to the same.

In the light of the aforesaid submissions and the no objection given by counsel for the complainant as well as learned State counsel, the petitioners are admitted to anticipatory bail. Meanwhile, without commenting

on the merits of the case, the petitioners are directed to surrender before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation. However, in the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall abide by the conditions as enshrined in Section 438(2) Cr.PC.

23.12.2022

V.Vishal

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
- 2. Whether reportable?

(Sandeep Moudgil)
Judge

Yes/No
Yes/No