

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.116

CWP-28076-2022

Date of decision: 07.12.2022

Krishan Chand

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Akashdeep Singh Balyan, Advocate for
Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagbir Malik, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of order dated 11.03.2022 through which respondent No.2 has ordered release of the petitioner's Death-cum-Retirement Gratuity and Leave Encashment on the reduced basic pay/salary. Also under challenge is the deduction of the annual increment granted to the petitioner on his promotion as Sub Divisional Engineer (Civil) and the withholding of an amount of Rs.3,00,000/- from his retiral benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the afore reliefs prayed for by the petitioner he has also made a representation to the respondents on 10.08.2022 which is under process for the last over 3 ½ months and that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to take an early decision on the petitioner's aforesaid representation.

Learned State counsel has no objection if the above prayer made on behalf of the petitioner is accepted.

Since through his representation dated 10.08.2022, the petitioner seeks release of his retiral benefits the above alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner is accepted. Resultantly, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the petitioner's representation dated 10.08.2022, by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Needless to say that a copy of the decision taken by respondent No.2 shall be served upon the petitioner and if he is aggrieved by the same, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

December 07, 2022
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No