

141

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-11399-2022
Date of decision : 05.12.2022

Minakshi and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Ms. Manpreet Kaur, Advocate and
Mr. Sunil Kumar Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is the case of the petitioners that they both are major inasmuch as petitioner No.1 was born on 02.01.1996 as is apparent from her Secondary School Examination Certificate and Aadhaar Card (Annexure P-1) and petitioner No.2 was born on 09.03.1996 as is apparent from his Secondary School Examination Certificate and Aadhaar Card (Annexure P-2), and have married each other on 01.12.2022, with their free consent and without any pressure and that this is their first marriage. Reliance has been placed upon the Marriage Certificate (Annexure P-3) and Photographs

(Annexure P-4). It is also stated by the learned counsel for the petitioners that a detailed representation dated 01.12.2022 (Annexure P-5) has also been given to respondent No.2 with regard to the same.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

On advance notice, Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, looks into the representation dated 01.12.2022 (Annexure P-5) and takes appropriate action in accordance with law.

After considering the abovesaid facts and without commenting upon the legality of the marriage and expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the present Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 01.12.2022 (Annexure P-5) and after considering the threat perception to the petitioners, respondent No.2 will take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioners, if involved in any criminal case.

05.12.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No