

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(117)

CWP-28019-2022

Date of Decision : December 06, 2022

Angrej Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Dalbir Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner was appointed as a Guest Faculty Lecturer in the subject of Physics in the year 2015 and the petitioner continued working with the respondents till September, 2020 when the petitioner was hospitalized due to Covid-19 and while the petitioner was getting treatment for the said ailment, the respondents terminated the services of the petitioner, which act upon the respondents is totally arbitrary and illegal, as while working as Guest Faculty Lecturer, the petitioner had already attained the necessary qualification for appointment to the said post.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that once the petitioner was fully eligible on the day when his services were terminated, the respondents were under an obligation to continue with the services of

the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the petitioner is approaching this Court after a period of more than two years. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that no representation raising the claim, as raised in the present petition, has been attached with the writ petition, which shows that the petitioner has approached this Court without raising the grievance before the authorities concerned. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that in case the petitioner has any grievance and the same is raised before the authorities concerned by filing appropriate representation, the same will be given due consideration and appropriate order on the same will be passed within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of any such grievance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of as the petitioner intends to approach the respondents by filing appropriate representation bringing to the notice of the respondents that similarly situated employees have been allowed to continue.

Ordered accordingly.

December 06, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No