

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of Decision :20.12.2022

...Petitioner

....Respondents

Present : Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rohit Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.1.
Mr. Ajit Singh Natt, AAG, Punjab for respondent No.2.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of order dated 26.04.2022 reducing the probation period from three years to two years having been implemented and resultant payment accruing thereto to the petitioner i.e. Rs.7,78,288/-, having been made vide cheque No.004417 dated 09.12.2022, he does not press the instant petition at this stage but prays for liberty to represent to the respondent for

balance payment as claimed.

[4] The same is not opposed to by learned counsel for the respondent.

[5] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instantpetition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

20.12.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No