

COCp-2668-2022

Date of Decision :21.12.2022

Raj Kumar and others

...Petitioners

versus

Harbir Singh

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Rajeev Dev Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-2 dated 01.06.2022 in CWP-12536-2022.

[2] A perusal of order Annexure P-2 reveals that CWP-12536-2022 was disposed of vide order dated 01.06.2022 by directing the respondent to consider and decide the legal notice within four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] Mr. Sanjeev Soni, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent contends that decision has been taken on the claim of the petitioners as contained in legal notice served by them vide order dated 17.12.2022 to promote the petitioners from the post of Fireman to the post of leading Fireman, after complying with the formalities as per rules. Copy of decision has been handed over to learned counsel for the petitioners, who on perusal thereof states that in view of decision having been taken on the claim of the petitioners as contained in legal notice

served by them in accordance with the directions of this Court dated 01.06.2022 in CWP-12536-2022, he does not press the instant petition but prays that follow up action on order dated 17.12.2022 be directed to be taken in a time bound manner.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while directing the respondent to take follow up action on order dated 17.12.2022 in accordance with law in a time bound manner as expeditiously as possible.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

21.12.2022
ps

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No