

COCp-2644-2022

Date of Decision :20.12.2022

SATISH KUMAR

...Petitioner

versus

ANUBHAV MEHTA

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 12.10.2022 in CWP No.23323 of 2022 in case titled as Satish Kumar vs. State of Haryana and others.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.23323 of 2022 was disposed of by directing the respondent to consider and decide the claim made in legal notice dated 05.07.2022 in accordance with law within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] Learned counsel for the respondent has filed short reply dated 19.12.2022 along with speaking order, Annexure R/7 dated 16.12.2022. The same is taken on record. Learned Counsel states that in terms of the speaking order, Annexure R/7 dated 16.12.2022 claim of the petitioner as contained in the legal notice dated 05.07.2022 decided and salary payable to the petitioner for the period 23.05.2020 to 28.09.2021 transferred on

14.12.2022 in the account of the agency which had engaged his services i.e. M/s Shree Shyam Associates while for salary for the period from 29.09.2021 to 22.06.2022 the claim has been sent to the Administrator, HSVP for sanction and the same would be released to the petitioner within four weeks from today.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioner on perusal of short reply as well as speaking order, Annexure R/7 dated 16.12.2022 states that he does not press the instant petition but prays for directions to the respondent to adhere to the assurance held out to release the payment of the outstanding salary within four weeks.

[5] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while directing the respondent to release the payment of the outstanding salary of the petitioner within four weeks from today.

[6] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

20.12.2022

'Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>