

134

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-27838-2022
Date of decision: 05.12.2022

MANGU RAM AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Ms. Riti Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to release the revised pension, DCRG, earned leave etc., after counting the primary service rendered by the petitioners on work charge basis in the Water Supply & Sewerage Board, Bathinda followed by the Municipal Council, Raman District Bathinda, for the purpose of qualifying service towards the pensionary benefits along with consequential benefits along with interest as laid down by this Court in *CWP No.12646 of 2009*, titled as *Pritam Singh and others versus State of Punjab and others*, decided on 23.08.2010.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioners limits his prayer to the extent that the legal notice dated 12.09.2022 (Annexure P-5), served upon the respondents should be decided expeditiously. He would submit that the

petitioners would be satisfied in case the said legal notice is decided in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. A. G., Punjab, who is also present in Court, accepts notice for the respondents and submits that the respondents will look into the matter and will take a decision on the legal notice of the petitioners. Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper-book be supplied to him, during the course of the day.

Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 12.09.2022 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order, in accordance with law and convey the decision to the petitioners. In case of failure to do so, the official responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

05.12.2022
Chetan Thakur

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No